

UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

TORNEY DOCKET NO.	AT		FIRST NAME	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
	T		WATANABE	01/27/00	д97 49 2 - 137
KAMINER	EX	<u>,</u> – [IM22/0406 EROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.		METALY (TENO) TILL
PAPER NUMBER	MAI.H ART UNIT		Ma LakePa		2033 K Stre Suite 800
l	1761			DC 20006	Washington
04/06/01	DATE MAILED:				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/492,137	WATANABE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hao T Mai	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was the provision of the provision	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	moly filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🔼	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	J.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)(8	Claims $1-13$ are subject to restriction and/or ϵ	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	esuc phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 11	3(C).				
Attachmen	nt(s)	_					
16) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/492,137

Art Unit: 1761

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, 12, and 13, drawn to a powder, classified in class 426 and
 subclass 629 and 5, respectively.
 - II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of making powder, classified in class 426, subclass 465.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by grinding.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If Group I is selected, restriction to Group I is further required:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1-10 and 12, drawn to a food product, classified in class 426, subclass 629, Claims 1-10 and 13, drawn to a cosmetic powder, classified in class 512, subclass 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,137

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/492,137

Art Unit: 1761

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hao T Mai whose telephone number is (703)306-9171. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-7PM; MON-THU.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703)308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3599 for regular communications and (703)305-7718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

hm

April 2, 2001

CURTIS SHERRER PATENT EXAMINER